

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 9745 P-12552 GARY K. MICHELSON 08/480,908 06/07/1995 **EXAMINER** 22882 7590 03/31/2005 BROWN, MICHAEL A MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP 1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT . HARTVILLE, OH 44632 3764

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	1
<u> </u>	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/480,908	MICHELSON, GARY K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Brown	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	vn from consideration.  76-180 and 182 is/are rejected.	the application.
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office		(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/480,908

Art Unit: 3764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 11-25, 28-151, 153, 158-159, 164-165, 170-171, 176-177 and 182 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Zdeblick '919.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 152, 154-156, 160-163, 166-168, 172-174 and 178-180 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdeblick '919 in view of McKay.

Zdeblick '919 discloses in figures 1-14c a spinal fusion implant, substantially as claimed. However, Zdeblick does not disclose the bone promoting substance including bone morphogenetic protein, hydroxyapatite or hydroxyapatite tricalcium phosphate.

McKay teaches a spinal procedure comprising a bone promoting substance that includes bone morphogenetic protein (claim 11, lines 2-3), hydroxyapatite (claim 17, line

Art Unit: 3764

2) or hydroxyapatite tricalcium phosphate (claim 17, lines 2-3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the bone promoting substance as taught by McKay could be substituted for the bone promoting substance disclosed by Zdeblick '010 because either bone promoting substance could be used to allow bone to grow through the implant and to the vertebrae to fasten the implant to the vertebrae. It is old and well known to form a spinal implant in a cylindrical configuration.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 9. Br